1 2 3 4 5	BARBARA A. LAWLESS - Bar # 53195 THERESE M. LAWLESS - Bar # 127341 LAWLESS & LAWLESS 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 391-7555 Facsimile: (415) 391-4228 Attorneys for Plaintiff CHIA HONG	SAN NO 60 COUNTY MAR 1 6 2015 Clerk or the Juperior Count SEPUTY CLERK
6	CIMEDIAN CAIDT OF T	THE STATE OF CALLEODNIA
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO (Unlimited Jurisdiction)	
9	(Oilminio)	d Julisdiction)
10	avv. volva) No. CW 532943
11	CHIA HONG,	,
12	Plaintiff,	OMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES ARISING FROM SEX DISCRIMINATION,
13	vs.	DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY, SEX HARASSMENT,
14	FACEBOOK, INC., ANIL WILSON, and) RACE/NATIONAL ORIGIN) DISCRIMINATION, RACE/NATIONAL
15	DOES ONE through FIFTY, inclusive,	ORIGIN HARASSMENT, RETALIATION, INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF
16	Defendants.) EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, INJUNCTIVE) RELIEF, FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
17		OCSTS AND FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES
18)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
19		BY FAX
20		Amount Domardod Fuccado
21) Amount Demanded Exceeds) \$25,000 (Gov't. Code § 72055)
22		
23	Plaintiff CHIA HONG complains against defendants, and each of them, demands a trial by	
24	jury of all issues and for causes of action allege:	s:
25	FACTS COMMON TO MORE	THAN ONE CAUSE OF ACTION
26	1. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names or capacities of the defendants sued here under	
27	the fictitious names DOE ONE through DOE FIFTY, inclusive. Plaintiff is informed and believes	
28	that each of DOE defendants was responsible in s	some manner for the occurrences and injuries alleged
		- 1 -
	1	ds. —

Complaint

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 .25

26

27 28 in this complaint.

- At all times mentioned in the causes of action into which this paragraph is incorporated by reference, each and every defendant was the agent or employee of each and every other defendant. In doing the things alleged in the causes of action into which this paragraph is incorporated by reference, each and every defendant was acting within the course and scope of this agency or employment and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of the remaining defendants. All actions of each defendant alleged in the causes of action into which this paragraph is incorporated by reference were ratified and approved by the officers or managing agents of every other defendant.
- 3. At the pertinent times mentioned in this complaint, defendant FACEBOOK, INC. was a corporation incorporated in the State of California. Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. will be referred to as "FACEBOOK."
- 4 At the pertinent times mentioned in this complaint, defendant ANIL WILSON was a resident of the State of California. This defendant will be referred to as the "individual defendant" or by his name.
- 5. On or about June 10, 2010, defendant FACEBOOK hired plaintiff for the position of Program Manager.
- 6. In or about October of 2012, plaintiff was transferred to the position of Technology Partner. Plaintiff received raises recognizing her satisfactory performance throughout her employment.
- 7. During her employment and other than any events immediately prior to her termination, plaintiff received no significant criticism of her work.
- 8. At all times, plaintiff performed her job in a satisfactory manner and received satisfactory performance evaluations. Roughly every six months during the entirety of her employment with FACEBOOK, plaintiff received positive feedback on her professional contributions in formal performance evaluations and was given corresponding raises and addition restricted stock units.
- 9. Plaintiff was discriminated against, harassed, and retaliated against during her employment and was terminated on or about October 17, 2013.

///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Sex Discrimination in Violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act

As a first, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 10. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.
- 11. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY are employers in the State of California, as defined in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA")
- 12. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY discriminated against plaintiff on the basis of her sex, female, and discharged plaintiff because of her sex, female, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act. The discrimination included, but was not limited to, plaintiff being belittled at work and asked why she did not just stay home and take care of her children; being admonished when she exercised her right under company policy to take time off to visit her child at school; being ordered to organize parties and serve drinks to male colleagues, which was not a part of her job description and not something that was requested of males with whom she worked; and being replaced by a less qualified, less experienced male. Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of discrimination. The policies of defendants which were neutral on their face resulted in a disparate impact on the class of female employees and plaintiff was treated differently because of her sex, female.
- 13. Plaintiff filed a charge of sex discrimination with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing within one year of the discrimination. The Department issued plaintiff a right-to-sue letter within one year of the filing of this complaint. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.
- 14. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' discrimination as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Discharge in Violation of Public Policy

As a second, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, and paragraph 12 as though set forth here in full.
- At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was a female person fully competent to perform the duties to which she was assigned
- 17. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY discriminated against plaintiff on the basis of her sex, female, and discharged plaintiff because of her sex, female, in violation of public policy.
- 18. Said discharge and discrimination violated the public policy of the State of California as stated in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California common law and plaintiff's constitutional rights under the California Constitution, Article 1, section 8, which states that a person may not be disqualified from entering or pursuing a business, profession, vocation, or employment because of sex, race, creed, color, or national or ethnic origin.
- 19. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' wrongful acts as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Sex Harassment in Violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act

As a third, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 20. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, and paragraph 12 as though set forth here in full.
 - 21. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY are employers in the State

-25

- Defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY harassed plaintiff on the basis of her sex, female, and discharged plaintiff because of her sex, female, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act. The harassment included, but was not limited to, ANIL WILSON regularly ignoring or belittling plaintiff's professional opinions and input at group meetings in which she was the only woman or one of very few; asking plaintiff why she did not just stay home and take care of her child instead of having a career; admonishing plaintiff for taking one personal day per month to volunteer at her child's school, which was permitted under company policy; ordering plaintiff to organize parties and serve drinks to male colleagues, which was not a part of plaintiff's job description and not something that was requested of males with whom she worked; and telling plaintiff he had heard she was an "order taker," by which he meant that she did not exercise independent discretion in the execution of her job duties. Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of harassment. The policies of defendants which were neutral on their face resulted in a disparate impact on the class of female employees and plaintiff was treated differently because of her sex, female.
- 23. Plaintiff filed a charge of sex harassment with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing within one year of the harassment. The Department issued plaintiff a right-to-sue letter within one year of the filing of this complaint. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.
- 24. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' harassment as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Discharge in Violation of Public Policy

As a FOURTH, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants

FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, and paragraph 22 as though set forth here in full.
- 26. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was a female person fully competent to perform the duties to which she was assigned.
- 27. Defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY harassed plaintiff on the basis of her sex, female, and discharged plaintiff because of her sex, female in violation of public policy.
- 28. Said discharge and harassment violated the public policy of the State of California as stated in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California common law and plaintiff's constitutional rights under the California Constitution, Article 1, section 8, which states that a person may not be disqualified from entering or pursuing a business, profession, vocation, or employment because of sex, race, creed, color, or national or ethnic origin.
- 29. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' wrongful acts as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Race/National Origin Discrimination in Violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act

As a fifth, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.
- 31. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY are employers in the State of California, as defined in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA").
- 32. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY discriminated against plaintiff on the basis of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, and discharged plaintiff because of her

race/national origin, Taiwanese, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act. The discrimination included, but was not limited to, plaintiff having her professional opinions belittled or ignored at group meetings in which she was one of the only employees of Chinese descent; plaintiff being told that she was not integrated into the team because she looks different and talks differently than other team members, and plaintiff being replaced by a less qualified, less experienced Indian male. Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of discrimination. The policies of defendants which were neutral on their face resulted in a disparate impact on the class of Taiwanese employees and plaintiff was treated differently because of her race/national origin, Taiwanese.

- 33. Plaintiff filed a charge of sex discrimination with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing within one year of the discrimination. The Department issued plaintiff a right-to-sue letter within one year of the filing of this complaint. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.
- Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' discrimination as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Discharge in Violation of Public Policy

As a sixth, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, and paragraph 32 as though set forth here in full.
- 36. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was a Taiwanese person fully competent to perform the duties to which she was assigned.
- 37. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY discriminated against plaintiff on the basis of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, and discharged plaintiff because of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, in violation of public policy.
 - 38. Said discharge and discrimination violated the public policy of the State of California

as stated in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California common law and plaintiff's constitutional rights under the California Constitution, Article 1, section 8, which states that a person may not be disqualified from entering or pursuing a business, profession, vocation, or employment because of sex, race, creed, color, or national or ethnic origin.

39. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' wrongful acts as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Race/National Origin Harassment in Violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act

As a seventh, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 40. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.
- 41. Defendants FACEBOOK, and DOES ONE through THIRTY are employers in the State of California, as defined in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"). Defendant ANIL WILSON acted as an agent, directly or indirectly, of defendant FACEBOOK in violating the FEHA.
- Defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY harassed plaintiff on the basis of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, and discharged plaintiff because of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act The harassment included, but was not limited to, plaintiff having her professional opinions belittled or ignored at group meetings in which she was one of the only employees of Chinese descent; plaintiff being told that she was not integrated into the team because she looks different and talks differently than other team members; plaintiff being told she was an order taker, i.e. did not exercise independent discretion in the execution of her job duties; and plaintiff being replaced by a less qualified, less experienced Indian male. Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of harassment.

The policies of defendants which were neutral on their face resulted in a disparate impact on the class of female employees and plaintiff was treated differently because of her sex, female.

- 43. Plaintiff filed a charge of race/national origin harassment with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing within one year of the harassment. The Department issued plaintiff a right-to-sue letter within one year of the filing of this complaint. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.
- 44. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' harassment as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Discharge in Violation of Public Policy

As an eighth, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, and paragraph 42 as though set forth here in full.
- 46. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was a Taiwanese person fully competent to perform the duties to which she was assigned.
- 47. Defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY harassed plaintiff on the basis of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, and discharged plaintiff because of her race/national origin, Taiwanese, in violation of public policy.
- 48. Said discharge and harassment violated the public policy of the State of California as stated in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California common law and plaintiff's constitutional rights under the California Constitution, Article 1, section 8, which states that a person may not be disqualified from entering or pursuing a business, profession, vocation, or employment because of sex, race, creed, color, or national or ethnic origin.
 - 49. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' wrongful acts as stated

in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION Retaliation in Violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act

As a ninth, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 50. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.
- 51. Defendants FACEBOOK, and DOES ONE through THIRTY are employers in the State of California, as defined in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"). Defendant ANIL WILSON acted as an agent, directly or indirectly, of defendant FACEBOOK in violating the FEHA.
- 52. Defendants FACEBOOK and DOES ONE through THIRTY retaliated against plaintiff on the basis of her complaining about the discrimination and harassment to which she was subjected and discharged plaintiff because of her complaining about the discrimination and harassment to which she was subjected in violation of the California Fair Employment and Housing Act. The retaliation included, but was not limited to, giving plaintiff unwarranted negative feedback in a performance evaluation shortly before her termination and terminating plaintiff's employment. Defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of retaliation. The policies of defendants which were neutral on their face resulted in a disparate impact on the class of people who complained about discrimination and harassment and plaintiff was treated differently because of her complaints.
- 53. Plaintiff filed a charge of retaliation with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing within one year of the retaliation. The Department issued plaintiff a right-to-sue letter within one year of the filing of this complaint. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedies.
 - 54. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' retaliation as stated in

the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Discharge in Violation of Public Policy

As a tenth, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON, and DOES ONE through THIRTY, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 55. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, and paragraph 52 as though set forth here in full.
- 56. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was a person fully competent to perform the duties to which she was assigned.
- 57. Defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY retaliated against plaintiff on the basis of her complaints regarding the discrimination and harassment to which she was subjected, and discharged plaintiff because of her complaints in violation of public policy
- 58. Said discharge and retaliation violated the public policy of the State of California as stated in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.
- 59. Plaintiff suffered damages legally caused by these defendants' wrongful acts as stated in the section below entitled "DAMAGES," which is incorporated here to the extent pertinent as if set forth here in full.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

As an eleventh, separate and distinct cause of action, plaintiff complains against all defendants, and each of them, and for a cause of action alleges:

- 60. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 59, inclusive, as though set forth here in full.
 - On or about June 10, 2010, plaintiff accepted employment with defendant

5

11 12

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

FACEBOOK. Plaintiff was assured job security and that she would not be discriminated against, harassed or retaliated against, and thereafter remained in her employment in reliance on those assurances.

- Defendants FACEBOOK, ANIL WILSON and DOES ONE through THIRTY were 62. in a position of power over plaintiff, with the potential to abuse that power. Plaintiff was in a vulnerable position because of her relative lack of power, because of her reliance on defendants' assurances and forbearance of the possibility of becoming employed elsewhere, because she had placed her trust in defendants, because she depended on her employment for her self esteem and sense of belonging, because she relied upon her employment as a source of income for her support, because a wrongful termination of plaintiff's employment would likely harm plaintiff's ability to find other employment, and because of the great disparity in bargaining power between plaintiff and her employer. Defendants were aware of plaintiff's vulnerability and the reasons for it.
- 63. On or about October 17, 2013, defendants discharged plaintiff or caused her to be discharged, and confirmed and ratified the discharge. Defendants' discharge of plaintiff and the manner in which they accomplished it was outrageous in that plaintiff was discriminated against, subjected to a hostile work environment, and ultimately terminated because of her sex - female, race/national origin - Taiwanese, and in that plaintiff was retaliated against because she complained about the discrimination and harassment to which she was subjected. Defendants' discharge of plaintiff and the manner in which they accomplished it was also outrageous in that defendants at all times intended to discriminate against, harass, retaliate against, and discharge plaintiff, leaving plaintiff without her employment and without the income, sense of self worth, and security which she derived from her employment, and which defendants knew that she derived from her employment.
- This conduct by defendants was intended to cause plaintiff emotional distress or was done with reckless disregard of the probability of causing plaintiff emotional distress.
- 65. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress as a legal result of defendants outrageous conduct. Plaintiff suffered severe mental distress, suffering and anguish as a legal result of defendants' outrageous conduct, reacting to her discharge with humiliation, embarrassment, anger, disappointment and worry, all of which is substantial and enduring.

25⁻

DAMAGES

- 66. As a legal result of the conduct by defendants of which plaintiff complains, plaintiff suffered substantial losses in earnings and other employee benefits. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to state the amount or will proceed according to proof at trial.
- 67. At the time plaintiff entered into the employment agreement and continuing thereafter, all parties to the agreement contemplated and it was reasonably foreseeable that breach of the agreement would cause plaintiff to suffer emotional distress. At all times, it was likely in the ordinary course of things that breach of the employment agreement would cause plaintiff to suffer emotional distress. The terms of the employment agreement related to matters which directly concerned plaintiff's comfort, happiness and personal welfare, and defendants were aware of this at the time they entered into the agreement and continuing thereafter. The subject matter of the employment agreement was such as to directly affect plaintiff's self esteem and emotional well being, and defendants were aware of this at the time they entered into the agreement and continuing thereafter.
- 68. Plaintiff suffered emotional distress as a legal result of the conduct by defendants of which plaintiff complains. Plaintiff suffered mental distress, suffering and anguish as a legal result of defendants' outrageous conduct, reacting to her discharge with humiliation, embarrassment, anger, disappointment and worry, all of which is substantial and enduring. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to state the amount or will proceed according to proof at trial.
- At all material times, defendants, and each of them, knew that plaintiff depended on her wages and other employee benefits as a source of earned income. At all material times, defendants were in a position of power over plaintiff, with the potential to abuse that power. Plaintiff was in a vulnerable position because of her relative lack of power, because of her reliance on defendants' assurances and forbearance of the possibility of becoming employed elsewhere, because she had placed her trust in defendants, because she depended on her employment for her self esteem and sense of belonging, because she relied upon her employment as a source of income for her support, because a wrongful termination of plaintiff's employment would likely harm plaintiff's ability to find other employment, and because of the great disparity in bargaining power between plaintiff

.25

and her employer. Defendants were aware of plaintiff's vulnerability and the reasons for it.

- 70. Notwithstanding such knowledge, defendants, and each of them, acted oppressively, fraudulently, and maliciously, in willful and conscious disregard of plaintiffs rights, and with the intention of causing or in reckless disregard of the probability of causing injury and emotional distress to the plaintiff.
- 71. Further, defendants were informed of the oppressive, fraudulent and malicious conduct of their employees, agents and subordinates, and ratified, approved, and authorized that conduct.
- 72. The foregoing conduct of defendants, and each of them, was intentional, willful and malicious and plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages in an amount to conform to proof.
- 73. Plaintiff also is entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code §218.5.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

74. Plaintiff has suffered irreparable injury and immediate harm due to defendants' acts. Plaintiff has no other legal remedy. In addition to the other relief requested in this Complaint, plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to insure that defendants do not discriminate against, harass, and/or retaliate against other employees because of their sex, race/national origin, or complaining about discriminatory, harassing, and/or retaliatory conduct.

PRAYER

Wherefore plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For a money judgment representing compensatory damages including lost wages, earnings, retirement benefits and other employee benefits, and all other sums of money, together with interest on these amounts, according to proof;
- 2. For a money judgment for mental pain and anguish and emotional distress, according to proof;
 - For an award of punitive damages, according to proof;
 - 4. For costs of suit and attorney fees;

1	5.	For prejudgment and postjudgment interest;	
2	6	For injunctive relief;	
3	7.	For any other relief that is just and proper;	
4	8.	For attorney fees pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5 and Gov't. Code § 12965(b).	
5			
6	Dated March	LAWLESS & LAWLESS	
7		By Barl A Jawley	
8		Barbara A. Lawless Therese M. Lawless	
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
11			
12	Plaintiff demands trial of all issues by jury.		
13	Dated: March	LAWLESS & LAWLESS	
14	Dated: Waren		
15		By Kall the Salvers	
16		Therese M. Lawless Attorneys for Plaintiff	
17		7100111040 101 7 10111111	
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	arte a section of	e termination of the second of	
26			
27	ļ		
28			
	1		