1	Daniel B. Beck, SBN 63865 Evan Livingstone, SBN 252008 BECK LAW P.C. 2681 Cleveland Ave Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Phone: 707-576-7175 Fax: 707-576-18782681 Email: evanmlivingstone@gmail.com						
2							
3							
4							
5							
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Don McComas						
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10	DON McCOMAS	Civil Action No.					
11	Plaintiff	COMPLAINT FOR					
12	VS.	Violation of Civil Rights Monell Claim					
13	CITY OF ROHNERT PARK and DAVID RODRIGUEZ	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
14	Defendants	DEMAND FOR JUNI TRIAL					
15							
16	Plaintiff Don McComas, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby brings this						
17	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and common law against Defendants City of Rohnert Park and						
18	Rohnert Park Police Officer David Rodriguez to redress his civil and legal rights as follows:						
19	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS						
20	1. Plaintiff seeks relief under the Civil Rights Act of 1871, as amended, codified in 42						
21	U.S.C. § 1983, for violation of his rights secured by the United States Constitution, including the						
22	First and Fourteenth Amendments, and by the laws and Constitution of the State of California.						
23	2. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and exemplary damages, injunctive relief, an award of						
24	costs, attorney fees, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.						
	Complaint – Page 1						

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This action is brought under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, this being an action seeking redress for the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional and civil rights.

4. Plaintiff further invokes this Court's supplemental jurisdiction, under 28 U.S.C.§ 1367, over any and all state law claims and as against all parties so related to claims in this action within this Court's original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy.

5. Venue in this district is proper in the Northern District of California under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) in that defendant City of Rohnert Park is administratively located within this district, and the events giving rise to this claim occurred within the Northern District.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Don McComas is, and at all times mentioned in this complaint was, citizen of the United States, residing in the City of Rohnert Park, County of Sonoma, State of California.

7. At all relevant times, Defendant City of Rohnert Park was a municipal entity created and authorized under the laws of the State of California. It is authorized by law to maintain a police department, which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement. Defendant City of Rohnert Park was at all relevant times the public employer of Defendant David Rodriguez.

8. At all relevant times, Defendant David Rodriguez was a duly appointed and acting officer, servant, employee, and agent of the City of Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety, a municipal agency of Defendant City of Rohnert Park.

NOTICE OF CLAIM

9. Plaintiff timely filed a Government Tort Claim with the City of Rohnert Park, setting forth the facts underlying Plaintiff's claims against the City of Rohnert Park and Rohnert Park Police Officer David Rodriguez.

1	10. The City of Rohnert Park rejected plaintiff's claim on November 19, 2015, and no
2	compensation has been offered by Defendant City of Rohnert Park in response to plaintiff's
3	claim.
4	11. This action has been commenced within six months of the rejection of plaintiff's
5	claim.
6	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
7	12. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.
8	FACTS
9	13. On July 29, 2015, Plaintiff Don McComas was in front of his home in the City of
10	Rohnert Park, California.
11	14. Plaintiff Don McComas observed a City of Rohnert Park police vehicle driving
12	around his neighborhood. He took out his cell phone and began to record video of the police
13	vehicle.
14	15. The police vehicle approached Plaintiff McComas and stopped in the middle of the
15	street directly in front of Mr. McComas' driveway with its engine running.
16	16. Plaintiff Don McComas continued to stand in front of his home and to openly take
17	video of the police vehicle.
18	17. After some time, the driver of the vehicle, Defendant Rohnert Park Police Officer
19	David Rodriguez, rolled down the window of the vehicle and aimed a camera at Mr. McComas.
20	18. Plaintiff Don McComas continued to stand in front of his home and to openly take
21	video of Defendant Officer David Rodriguez and the police vehicle.
22	19. Defendant David Rodriguez emerged from the police vehicle, placed his hand on his
23	firearm and walked towards Plaintiff, stating "Hey. You gotta take your hand out of your
24	pocket."
	Complaint – Page 3

		Ĺ
1	20. Plaintiff Don McComas responded, "No. I haven't done anything."	
2	21. Defendant Officer Rodriguez removed his firearm from its holster and held it in a	
3	ready position as he advanced towards Plaintiff Don McComas in a threatening manner.	
4	22. Plaintiff Don McComas immediately removed his left hand from his pocket and	
5	placed the contents of his pocket on his own vehicle.	
6	23. Defendant Officer Rodriguez continued to advance towards Plaintiff Don McManus	
7	in a threatening manner while holding his firearm at a ready position at his side.	
8	24. Plaintiff Don McComas retreated in fear as Officer Rodriguez continued to approach	
9	Mr. McComas with his gun drawn.	
10	25. Plaintiff Don McComas repeated that he had done nothing and asked Officer	
11	Rodriguez why Officer Rodriguez had gotten out of his vehicle.	
12	26. Defendant Officer Rodriguez stated, "You are taking a picture of me, I am taking a	
13	picture of you." Officer Rodriguez made this statement while standing on the sidewalk in front of	
14	Plaintiff Don McComas' home brandishing a firearm.	
15	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
16	VIOLATION OF RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH	
17	(Against Police Officer David Rodriguez)	
18	27. The contents of the above paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as if fully	
19	set forth herein.	
20	28. By his conduct, as described herein, and acting under color of state law to deprive	
21	Plaintiff of his right to freedom of speech, assembly, and association under the First and	
22	Fourteenth Amendments, Officer David Rodriguez is liable for violation of 42 U.S.C.§ 1983,	
23	which prohibits the deprivation under color of state law of rights secured under the United States	
	when promotes the deprivation and e color of state law of rights secured and of the officed states	ļ
24	Constitution.	

29. Officer David Rodriguez has violated Plaintiff's First Amendment rights to assembly, speech, and association by repeatedly threatening Plaintiff with a firearm in retaliation for Plaintiff's exercise of his free speech and by threatening Plaintiff with a firearm in retaliation for Plaintiff exercising his right to record police activity. Defendant Officer David Rodriguez's actions were taken in direct retaliation for Plaintiff's exercising his First Amendment rights. 30. As a consequence of Officer David Rodriguez's actions, Plaintiff has suffered violations of his First and Fourteenth Amendment rights to free speech, assembly, and association, including the right to record police activity. Plaintiff fears that he will be subject to similar unlawful acts by Officer David Rodriguez or other members of the Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety done to limit and prevent his First Amendment protected activities. 31. As a proximate result of Officer David Rodriguez's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages including physical, mental, and emotional injury and pain, mental anguish, suffering, humiliation, and embarrassment. **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION MONELL** CLAIM (Against City of Rohnert Park) 32. The contents of the above paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein. 33. All of the acts and omissions by Police Officer David Rodriguez described above were carried out under policies and practices of Defendant City of Rohnert Park that existed at the time of the conduct alleged, and were engaged in with the full knowledge, consent, and cooperation and under the supervisory authority of Defendant City of Rohnert Park and its agency, the City of Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety. 34. Defendant City of Rohnert Park, by its policy-making agents, servants, and

employees, authorized, sanctioned and/or ratified Defendant David Rodriguez' wrongful acts; and/or failed to prevent or stop those acts; and/or allowed or encouraged those acts to continue.

35. The acts complained of were carried out by Defendant David Rodriguez in his capacity as a police officer under the customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures, and rules of Defendant City of Rohnert Park and the Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety.

36. The aforementioned customs, practices, procedures, and rules of Defendant City of Rohnert Park and the Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety include, but are not limited to: (1) threatening to use force against citizens in retaliation for the content of their speech in public forums; and (2) threatening to use force against citizens in retaliation for exercising their right to record police activity.

37. The existence of these unlawful de facto policies and/or well-settled and widespread customs and practices is known to, encouraged, and/or condoned by supervisory and policymaking officials of Defendant City of Rohnert Park and the Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety including Officer David Rodriguez.

38. The constitutional violations by the City of Rohnert Park, through the actions of the Rohnert Park Department of Public Safety and Police Officer David Rodriguez, were proximately caused by the policies, practices, and/or customs developed, implemented, enforced, encouraged, and sanctioned by Defendant City of Rohnert Park, including the failure to: (1) adequately supervise and train its officers and agents, including Officer David Rodriguez, thereby failing to adequately discourage constitutional violations on the part of its officers and agents; and (2) properly monitor and discipline its officers, including Officer David Rodriguez.

39. Defendants' unlawful actions were done willfully, knowingly, and with the specific intent to deprive Plaintiff of his constitutional rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

1

1	40. Defendants have acted with deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's constitutional rights.							
2	As a proximate result of these acts, Plaintiff's constitutional rights have been violated, which							
3	caused him to suffer physical, mental, and emotional injury and pain, mental anguish, suffering,							
4	humiliation, and embarrassment.							
5	41. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and will suffer serious irreparable harm to his							
6	constitutional rights unless Defendants are enjoined from continuing their unlawful practices,							
7	policies, and/or customs that have proximately caused these abuses to occur.							
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF							
9	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Don McComas prays for judgment against Defendants as							
10	follows:							
11	1. An injunction enjoining defendants from engaging in conduct to unlawfully disrupt,							
12	disperse, interfere with, or prevent the lawful First Amendment activities described herein;							
13	2. An award of compensatory damages;							
14	3. An award of exemplary damages;							
15	4. An award of attorney fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988;							
16	5. An award of costs of suit under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 1988; and							
17	6. For other such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate and just.							
18								
19	Dated: May 18, 2016 BECK LAW PC							
20	by: <u>/s/Evan Livingstone</u>							
21	Evan Livingstone Attorneys for Plaintiff Don McComas							
22								
23								
24								
	Complaint – Page 7							

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DON McCOMAS				DEFENDANTS CITY OF ROHNERT PARK and DAVID RODRIGUEZ					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Sonoma (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant <u>Sonoma</u> (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, J BECK LAW P.C. 2681 Cleveland Ave Santa Rosa, CA 95403	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Citizen of This State 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A	1	□ 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			F	NDEFITHDE/DENIALTV	DAN	KDUDTCV	OTHED S	TATIT	TES
CONTRACT I 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury - 315 Airplane Product Product Liability 135 Airplane Product Product Liability 330 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical Stander Personal Injury 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability 1340 Marine Injury Product 340 Marine Injury Product 1345 Marine Product Liability Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 1355 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Personal Product Liability 380 Other Personal Injury 385 Property Damage Injury Is85 Property Damage Injury Is85 Property Damage Injury Is85 Property Damage Injury Is85 Property Damage Injury Is10 Motions to Vacate Solo General S10 Motions to Vacate 443 Housing/ S30 General 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Is30 General 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - S40 Mandamus & Oth Ot		Y 0 62 0 69 1 XTY 0 71 0 72 0 74 75 NS 0 79 2 4 0 46	DRFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other 20 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 22 Naturalization Application 23 Naturalization Application 25 Other Immigration Actions	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 897 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	moved from \Box 3 the Court	Appellate Court	1	pened Another (specify)	r District	□ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	DN Brief description of ca	uise.		Do not cite jurisdictional stat (as to any suppleme ech, assembly and a			laim.		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N D	EMAND \$ injunction	n	HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	~ /	complai 🗖 No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 05/18/2016		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	WINT	A DDI VIDIO IED		HIDCE		MAC III	DOF		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.