DAVID A. LOWE (SBN 178811) dal@rezlaw.com MEGHAN F. LOISEL (SBN 291400) mfl@rezlaw.com RUDY, EXELROD, ZIEFF & LOWE, LLP 351 California Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 434-9800 Facsimile: (415) 434-0513 6 WILLIAM C. JHAVERI-WEEKS (SBN 289984) wjw@jhaveriweeks.com ALLY N. GIROUARD (SBN 336625) ag@jhaveriweeks.com THE JHAVERI-WEEKS FIRM 351 California Street, Suite 700 10 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 463-8097 11 Facsimile: (415) 367-1439 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 Samira Sheppard 14 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 17 Case No. 200 0 0 4 0 3 1 18 SAMIRA SHEPPARD. 19 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** 20 VS. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** TESLA, INC. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS 21 IN CALIFORNIA AS TESLA MOTORS, 22 INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive. 23 Defendants. 24 25 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. Although Tesla publicly claims that it fosters a safe and respectful environment for its workers, the truth is that for years Tesla has subjected women working in its Fremont plant to nightmarish conditions of rampant sexual harassment. Tesla's factory floor more resembles a crude, archaic construction site or frat house than a cutting-edge company in the heart of the progressive San Francisco Bay Area. The pervasive culture of sexual harassment, which includes a daily barrage of sexist language and behavior, including frequent groping on the factory floor, is known to supervisors and managers and often perpetrated by them.
- 2. Samira Sheppard was nineteen years old when she started her first job as an adult at Tesla's Fremont factory. On a daily basis, she had to hear comments sexualizing her and other female employees, such as "Oh my god, you have such big tits" and "I'd fuck the shit out of her." Two male co-workers would consistently try to ask Ms. Sheppard out on dates outside of work, despite her clearly telling them she was not interested. During her first week at work, another male co-worker began to stalk her, following her throughout the factory. A Supervisor made comments to her male co-workers about being able to see her nipples through her shirt.

 Ms. Sheppard was not sure who to complain to, given that the Supervisors or Leads were often the harassers. After approximately two months of being sexualized at work, Ms. Sheppard went out sick. A Tesla employee, who Ms. Sheppard believes was a factory supervisor or manager named Morgan, contacted her about returning, and she replied that she was not comfortable returning to her same area on the line and asked if there was any other area where she could work. The Tesla employee never responded. Ms. Sheppard could not take it anymore.
- 3. Ms. Sheppard saw other women experiencing the same sexually inappropriate behavior, and witnesses will testify that they observed the rampant sexual harassment at Tesla. Ms. Sheppard brings this action to hold Tesla accountable for the harm it caused her, and in

¹ Tesla, Inc. 2020 10-K Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission at pp. 12-13, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1318605/000156459021004599/tsla-10k 20201231.htm

1	hopes that Tesla that will put a stop to the unsafe, hostile work environment for women at its			
2	Fremont factory.			
3	PARTIES			
4				
5	27, 2020, until at the beginning of March 2021. She resides in Modesto, California.			
6				
7	("Tesla" or "Defendant"), is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business in			
8	California.			
9	6. The true names and capacities of Defendants named herein as Does 1 through 20,			
10				
11	A			
12				
13	Does 1 through 20, inclusive, when they have been determined.			
14	VENUE AND JURISDICTION			
15	7. Venue is proper in this Court under California Code of Civil Procedure § 395.5			
16	because Plaintiff's employment was performed in this county and because the legal violations			
17	alleged herein took place in this county.			
18	8. Venue is also proper in this Court under California Government Code § 12965(b)			
19	because Defendant committed the unlawful practices alleged herein in this county.			
20	9. This Court has general jurisdiction to adjudicate this unlimited civil case, in which			
21	the total amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds \$75,000.			
22	10. This Court has jurisdiction to adjudicate Plaintiff's claims under the Fair			
23	Employment and Housing Act pursuant to California Government Code § 12965(b).			
24	11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant employed			
25	Plaintiff at Defendant's place of business located in this county, and because Defendant's acts			
26	allegedly giving rise to liability occurred in this county.			
27	///			
28	///			
- 1				

28 ///

PROCEDURAL ALLEGATIONS

12. Prior to filing this Complaint, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing against Defendant for discrimination and harassment on December 13, 2021. Plaintiff obtained a notice of Right-to-Sue on the same date prior to filing this lawsuit.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 13. Tesla hired Ms. Sheppard on or around October 27, 2020, as a Production Associate working on the main factory floor in Fremont, California. She was one of only a few women in her work area and at nineteen years old she was much younger than most of the predominantly male employees she worked with at Tesla.
- 14. Throughout her time at Tesla, Ms. Sheppard dealt with daily harassment from male co-workers, and at times, from male Leads and at least one male Supervisor. Almost every day, male co-workers consistently made comments to Ms. Sheppard about her body, such as, "You have such big tits," "Damn, you look good," "Nice body," "You look good for being so short," "You're gonna be my baby mama," "I know you look good under there," "I know you'd look good outside of work," and various comments about the size of her back-side such as "I know you have a fat ass under there." At least several times a week, male co-workers would ask Ms. Sheppard out on dates, and they would flirt with her daily, despite her making it clear that she was not interested. After a certain point, the harassment caused Ms. Sheppard to go into a mental mode where she tried to keep her mind blank as if she were there, but not there, because she could not stand to be present in a place where she was spoken to in this way.
- 15. During her first week on the job, a male co-worker, Anthony [last name unknown], began to stalk Ms. Sheppard. Anthony worked as a Material Handler on a forklift in a different area than Ms. Sheppard. He would ask Ms. Sheppard's friend, who worked in the same area as Anthony. where Ms. Sheppard was, and would follow her throughout the factory.

 Ms. Sheppard and her friend would meet up at the end of their shifts so they could leave the building together, and once Anthony learned this, he would show up at their meet-up spot.

- 16. Ms. Sheppard carpooled with another female co-worker who drove a forklift and worked near Anthony. Knowing that Ms. Sheppard carpooled with this co-worker, Anthony would ask the co-worker questions about Ms. Sheppard, such as "did you drive with Samira today?"; "when is the last time you saw Samira?"; and "Are you going to meet Samira today?"
- 17. Anthony also found Ms. Sheppard's personal social media profiles, and he told her he was looking at her social media pages at work, making comments to Ms. Sheppard about her photos such as, "I've seen the pictures you've posted, you look good" and "you're really pretty in your pictures."
- 18. Ms. Sheppard began arriving and leaving early or late from work to try to avoid having to interact with Anthony. Ms. Sheppard's friend told her that she had made an H.R. complaint about Anthony and also dealt with harassment by him. The friend said that she had been moved to a new location after making the complaint to H.R.
- 19. Two male co-workers, CJ [last name unknown] and Lerone ("Rone") [last name unknown], were particularly bothersome and would harass Ms. Sheppard on a daily basis. CJ and Rone were friends, and they asked Ms. Sheppard and one of her female friends out on a double date. Ms. Sheppard (like her female friend) made it clear that she was not interested, by saying directly to CJ and Rone that she did not want to go out with them. At one point, Rone said to Ms. Sheppard, "We don't need a serious relationship, but I still want to mess around with you."
- 20. Throughout her time at Tesla, CJ and Rone continually made sexualized comments and stared at Ms. Sheppard's body. Referring to Ms. Sheppard's female friend and coworker, Rone said to Ms. Sheppard, "I'd fuck the shit out of her." CJ made comments to Ms. Sheppard like "You have such big tits," and he would often stare at her chest area. CJ would tell Ms. Sheppard that she was his "best friend," as if this were an excuse or explanation for his conduct. Ms. Sheppard never felt that he was her friend and continued to indicate to him that she was not interested.
- 21. On one occasion, a colleague came up to Ms. Sheppard and told her that a Supervisor named Atuba [phonetic, last name unknown] was encouraging male employees to

look at Ms. Sheppard's breasts because her nipples were visible through her shirt. Ms. Sheppard did not think of complaining about this because it was a Supervisor making the comment. Similarly, Ms. Sheppard did not complain about the daily sexual comments because they were out in the open and clearly known to and participated in by Supervisors and Leads, and it appeared to her that that was just the accepted culture at Tesla or at workplaces in general because this was her first job.

- 22. The behavior that Ms. Sheppard experienced made her feel depressed, ashamed, angry, anxious, afraid, and uncomfortable, both at work and even outside of work. She began wearing baggie clothes to try to avoid drawing attention to herself.
- 23. Ms. Sheppard had been excited to work at Tesla as her first job, and she thought she might really excel at Tesla and grow a career there. About two months into the job, at the end of December 2020, she went on bereavement leave. While on leave, she became ill and was hospitalized. A Tesla employee, who Ms. Sheppard believes was a Supervisor or manager named Morgan, texted Ms. Sheppard about coming back to work. She responded with a lengthy text message that said (as best she remembers) she was not comfortable returning to her same area on the line and asked if there was any other area where she could work. She never got a response. Ms. Sheppard decided she was not willing to go back into a harassment-filled environment. Later, Tesla sent her a letter in the mail that she would need to return to work or else she would be fired. Ms. Sheppard did not receive this letter until on or around March 3, 2021, at which point the deadline in the letter for her to return to work had already passed.
- 24. Ms. Sheppard was hesitant to begin working again after the traumatizing experience she had at Tesla as her first-ever work experience. The sexual harassment she faced was so normalized at Tesla that Ms. Sheppard assumed she would have similar experiences at other jobs. She enrolled in school, and approximately eight months after leaving Tesla, Ms. Sheppard found a new job and began working again.

26 ///

27 /

///

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Sexual Harassment in Violation of FEHA, Gov. Code §§ 12940 et seq.)

- 25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and alleges as follows:
 - 26. Ms. Sheppard is a woman who was employed by Tesla.
- 27. Ms. Sheppard was subjected to severe and pervasive harassing conduct from a Supervisor and colleagues because she is a woman.
- 28. As detailed above, this severe, pervasive, and harassing conduct included near-daily comments of a demeaning, objectifying, and sexual nature; overtly sexual comments about her body; unwelcome and persistent romantic propositioning; and threatening stalking behavior.
 - 29. Ms. Sheppard's circumstances constituted a hostile work environment.
 - 30. The sexual advances and comments directed to Ms. Sheppard were unwelcome.
- 31. Tesla is strictly liable for the sexual harassment because it was conducted by Tesla's Supervisor, who had authority to direct Ms. Sheppard's work activities, evaluate her performance, and discipline her.
- 32. Tesla knew or should have known of the rampant sexual harassment directed at Ms. Sheppard because the behavior was committed and/or witnessed by Supervisors and Leads and was generally known to and tolerated by Tesla. Yet Tesla failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action.
- 33. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Tesla's unlawful actions, Ms. Sheppard has suffered pain and suffering and continues to suffer severe emotional distress, including shame, anxiety, embarrassment, stress, and indignity, all to her damage in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 34. Tesla committed the aforementioned acts despicably, maliciously, and willfully, with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Sheppard, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of her rights and safety. Tesla managing agents were on notice of and ratified the offending conduct. Ms. Sheppard is thus entitled to recover punitive damages from Tesla in an amount according to proof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Prevent Sexual Harassment in Violation of FEHA, Gov. Code § 12940(k))

- 35. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and alleges as follows:
- 36. Tesla failed to take immediate preventative and corrective steps reasonably calculated to prevent the sexual harassment of Ms. Sheppard.
- 37. Tesla knew or should have known of the rampant sexual harassment in its workplace.
- 38. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Tesla's unlawful actions,
 Ms. Sheppard has suffered pain and suffering and continues to suffer severe emotional distress,
 including shame, anxiety, embarrassment, stress, and indignity, all to her damage in an amount to
 be proven at the time of trial.
- 39. Tesla committed the aforementioned acts despicably, maliciously, and willfully, with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Sheppard, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of her rights and safety. Tesla managing agents were on notice of and ratified the offending conduct. Ms. Sheppard is thus entitled to recover punitive damages from Tesla in an amount according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Discrimination in Violation of FEHA, Gov. Code §§ 12940 et seq.)

- 40. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and alleges as follows:
- 41. Under California Government Code § 12940, it is an unlawful employment practice for an employer to discharge an employee or to discriminate against an employee in terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of her sex.
- 42. Tesla discriminated against Plaintiff by subjecting her to working conditions to which men were not subjected including the above-described unlawful treatment based upon her sex. Plaintiff was denied terms and privileges granted to men *i.e.*, a workplace free of sexmotivated negative treatment.

- 43. By engaging in the above unlawful conduct, Tesla either forced Plaintiff to stay away from work, which resulted in her termination, or constructively terminated Plaintiff. Tesla, through its officers, managing agents, and/or supervisory employees, intentionally created and knowingly permitted sexual harassment of Plaintiff that was so intolerable that a reasonable person in Plaintiff's position would have had no reasonable alternative except to refrain from returning to work.
- 44. Ms. Sheppard refrained from returning to work because of these working conditions, which resulted in her termination.
- 45. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Tesla's unlawful actions, Ms. Sheppard has suffered economic loss, pain and suffering and severe emotional distress, including shame, anxiety, embarrassment, stress, and indignity, all to her damage in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.
- 46. Tesla committed the aforementioned acts despicably, maliciously, and willfully, with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Sheppard, from an improper and evil motive amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of her rights and safety. Tesla managing agents were on notice of and ratified the offending conduct. Ms. Sheppard is thus entitled to recover punitive damages from Tesla in an amount according to proof.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy)

- 47. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein and alleges as follows:
- 48. Defendant's termination and/or constructive discharge, of Ms. Sheppard violated the fundamental public policy of the State of California embodied by FEHA that employers shall not discriminate against or harass employees on the basis of sex.
- 49. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Ms. Sheppard has suffered economic loss, physical injury, pain and suffering and severe emotional distress, including shame, anxiety, embarrassment, stress, and indignity, all to her damage in an amount to be proven at the time of trial.

1	50.	Tesla committed the a	forementioned acts despicably, maliciously, and willfully,	
2	with the wrongful intention of injuring Ms. Sheppard, from an improper and evil motive			
3	amounting to malice, and in conscious disregard of her rights and safety. Tesla's managing			
4	agents were on notice of and ratified the offending conduct. Ms. Sheppard is thus entitled to			
5	recover punitive damages from Tesla in an amount according to proof.			
6	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
7	WHEREFORE, Ms. Sheppard prays for judgment against Tesla as follows:			
8	1. For compensatory damages, including but not limited to, lost back pay (including			
9	but not limited to, salary and bonus wages), equity, fringe benefits, future lost earnings, and			
10	emotional distress;			
11	2.	For punitive damages	as allowed by law;	
12	3.	For an award to Ms. S	heppard of costs of suit incurred herein and reasonable	
13	attorneys' fees;			
14	4.	For prejudgment interest and post-judgment interest permitted by law; and		
15	5.	For an award of such of	other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
16	ar-			
17	DATED: De	cember 14, 2021	Respectfully submitted,	
18			RUDY, EXELROD, ZIEFF & LOWE, LLP	
19				
20			By: Wallow	
21			DAVIDA LOWB MEGHAN F. LOISEL	
22			THE JHAVERI-WEEKS FIRM	
23			WILLIAM C. JHAVERI-WEEKS	
24		=	ALLY N. GIROUARD	
25			Attorneys for Plaintiff Samira Sheppard	
26				
27		•		
28				

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all causes of action and/or issues so triable. DATED: December 14, 2021 Respectfully submitted, RUDY, EXELROD, ZIEFF & LOWE, LLP MEGHAN F. LOISEL THE JHAVERI-WEEKS FIRM WILLIAM C. JHAVERI-WEEKS ALLY N. GIROUARD Attorneys for Plaintiff Samira Sheppard